Stump Management after Trans-Tibial Amputation: A Systematic Review

S. E. Nawijn, H. van der Linde, C. H. Emmelot, and C. J. Hofstad Prosthet Orthot Int 2005 29: 13

Background: In post-amputation management, achieving optimal stump healing is one of the primary objectives. In order to achieve stump healing after trans-tibial amputation, various methods are applied, such as soft dressings followed by elastic wrapping of the stump, rigid dressings, semi-rigid dressings, and more recently the application of silicon or gel-liners. **Objectives:** A systematic literature search was performed to identify the optimal post-amputation management.

Criteria for selecting studies for this review:

• Types of studies: 11 controlled studies, RCTs and case control studies

• Types of participants: NA

• **Types of interventions:** Table 2

• Types of outcome measures: Table 2

Search strategy for identification of studies: systematic search in Medline (from 1970), Current Contents (from 1996), and the Cochrane Database (2003 Issue) until May 2003. **Conclusion:** The results from the reviewed studies show a trend in favor of the application of rigid and semi-rigid dressings compared to the application of soft dressings to achieve stump healing and reduction of stump volume following trans-tibial amputation in vascular patients. More research is needed to confirm these results. An RCT is considered to be the preferable study design. Main clinical findings can be found on table 2.

Stump Management after Trans-Tibial Amputation: A Systematic Review

Table II. Characteristics of included B and C studies.

		Intervention				
		Dre	ssing	Weight bearing		
First author and publication date	Timing	Experimental	Control		Primary outcome measures	Main clinical findings
Vigier 1999	< 3 months PO (open wound by design)	EC, Sil + PC (0.5 – 5 h/day)	EC	Use of ischial weight bearing Prosthesis. After sufficient stump Healing TP is used in both groups.	Time to achieve epithelialization Initial success in walking with TP	Sil + PCl shortens the healing time No significant difference in time to ability to walk
Mueller 1982	< 2 months PO	RRD	EB	5p	Stump volume	Greater stump shrinkage
Baker 1977	Immediate PO	RD	SD + splint	Pylon added to RD after 2-6 weeks; then gait training is started	Healing rate (prim-/sec-/non-healing)	in the RRD group No difference in healing rates
					Rehabilitation time	In the RD group gait training started earlier ^a
MacLean 1994	Immediate PO until incision healing	SRD	SD		Readiness for prosthetic fitting	
Wong 2000	< 30 days PO	SRD	ED		Readiness for prosthetic fitting	Patients with SRD more likely to become fitted and to become ambulatory ^b
Manella 1981	Incision healed	SS	EB		Functional abilities Stump volume	SS better in reducing
Liedberg 1983	Average 3 weeks PO			Training with Tulip TP twice a day, versus no use or use of other TP	Healing rate (prim-/sec- healing/ sec-ulceration)	residual limb volume No difference in healing rates
					Stump volume	No difference in shrinking rate
			Table II. (con	tinued).		
			Interventi	ion		
		Dressing		Weight bearing	-	
					Walking ability	No difference in walking
Mooney 1971	Immediate PO	RD	SD	RD + pylon started gait training several days PO. All used TP after incision healing		ability after 3 months RD ± pylon improved healing rate RD healed more rapidly than RD + pylon
		RD + pylon				
Golbranson 1988	Recent amputation	EW, PC + pylon	EW	PC and PL used during gait training	Stump volume	PL and PC decreased the average volume in contrast to EW, which did not decrease volume
	Incision healed	EW, PL + pylon		Weight bearing to tolerance		
Barber 1983	Immediate PO	RD	SD	TP after 2 weeks if incision healing is satisfactory in both groups	Healing rate (prim-/sec-/non-healing)	No difference in healing and ambulation level
Nicholas 1976	Immediate PO	RD	SD	In a number of the RD groups, a pylon was added after 10–14 days	Level of ambulation Healing rate (% primary healing) Rehabilitation status	RD showed a higher percentage primary healing No difference in long- term rehabilitation

Abbreviations: PO: post-operative; (R)RD: (removable) rigid dressing; SD: soft dressing; EB: elastic bandage; EC: elastic compression; EW: elastic wrapping; PC: plaster cast; PS: plaster shell; SS: shrinker sock; Sil: silicon liner; SRD: semi-rigid dressing; TP: temporary prosthesis; prim-/sec-/non-healing: primary-/secondary and non-healing. aResult from a selected population within the study.

term rehabilitation

^bInterpretation of Kaplan - Meier curves.